UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION

Clarence Bogan,) CASE NO. 1:10 CV 2491
Petitioner,) JUDGE PATRICIA A. GAUGHAN
vs.)
Donnie Morgan, Warden,) Memorandum of Opinion and Order
Respondent.)

This matter is before the Court upon the Report and Recommendation of Magistrate

Judge William H. Baughman (Doc. 18) recommending dismissal of the Petition for Writ of *Habeas Corpus* pending before the Court. In light of this recommendation, the Magistrate Judge

further recommends that petitioner's pending "motion seeking a ruling" on his petition (Doc. 16)

be denied as moot. For the following reasons, the Report and Recommendation is ACCEPTED.

Petitioner, Clarence Bogan, commenced this action with the filing of a Petition for Writ of *Habeas Corpus* pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The Magistrate Judge has issued a Report and Recommendation, recommending that the Petition be dismissed on the grounds that petitioner's claims are procedurally defaulted. Petitioner has not filed an objection to the Report and Recommendation.

Discussion

Rule 8(b) of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases in the United States District Courts

provides: "The judge must determine de novo any proposed finding or recommendation to

which objection is made. The judge may accept, reject, or modify any proposed finding or

recommendation." However, when, as here, no objections to a Report and Recommendation

have been filed, the Court need only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the

record in order to accept the recommendation. See Advisory Committee Notes 1983 Addition to

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72.

The Court has considered the Petition and the Report and Recommendation of the

Magistrate Judge and finds no clear error on the face of the record. Accordingly, the Report and

Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge recommending dismissal of petitioner's claims on the

grounds of procedural default is accepted. The Court further accepts the recommendation of the

Magistrate Judge that petitioner's motion seeking a ruling be denied as moot.

Conclusion

For the reasons stated by the Magistrate Judge in the Report and Recommendation, the

pending Petition for Writ of *Habeas Corpus* is dismissed. Petitioner's motion seeking a ruling is

denied as moot. The Court further determines, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3), that an

appeal from this decision could not be taken in good faith, and that there is no basis upon which

to issue a certificate of appealability. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c); Fed.R.App.P. 22(b).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

/s/ Patricia A. Gaughan

PATRICIA A. GAUGHAN

United States District Judge

Dated: 8/29/12

2